



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/702,037

10/27/2000

Peter Bennett Duff Whyte

U013032-6

8344

140 7590 01/26/2012

LADAS & PARRY LLP

1040 Avenue of the Americas

NEW YORK, NY 10018-3738

EXAMINER

WARE, DEBORAH K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1651

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/26/2012

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nyuspatactions@ladas.com

nymail@ladas.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/702,037	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WHYTE, PETER BENNETT DUFF	
	<b>Examiner</b> DEBBIE K. WARE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1651	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DEBBIE K. WARE. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) JANET CORD. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 January 2011.

Type:    ☒ Telephonic    ☐ Video Conference  
           ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant    ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:    ☐ Yes    ☒ No.  
     If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed    ☐101    ☐112    ☐102    ☐103    ☒Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: proposed claims after final amendment filed 1/9/12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Not discussed per se.

**Substance of Interview**  
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner Ware called and proposed changes to place into condition for allowance. Applicant's Representative will discuss the matter with the inventor, the client, and phone the Examiner back. Examiner indicated that an advisory will be mailed out for Applicant's Representative to review since new issues and/or search are created by the amendment after final.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment